

Practice problems for the 430 final

**Problem 1.** Recall that the soundness theorem says that if  $\Gamma \vdash \phi$ , then  $\Gamma \models \phi$ . Prove the soundness theorem, by assuming without proof that every logical axiom is valid (i.e. holds in every model).

**Problem 2.** Suppose that  $\Sigma$  is a set of sentences that has arbitrarily large finite models. Show that  $\Sigma$  has an infinite model.

**Problem 3.** Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{<\}$  be a first order language where  $<$  is a binary relation symbol.

- (1) Show that the theory of infinite linear orders is axiomatizable.
- (2) Show that the theory of infinite linear orders is not finitely axiomatizable.

For the following problem you can make use of the formula  $\phi_{code}(x)$  and that  $\mathfrak{A} \models \phi_{code}[a]$  iff  $a$  codes a sequence. You can also use “ $lh(a)$ ” to refer to the length of the sequence coded by  $a$ .

**Problem 4.** Write down a  $\Sigma_1$  formula  $\phi_{exp}(e, n, k)$ , such that  $\mathfrak{A} \models \phi_{exp}[e, n, k]$  iff  $e^n = k$ . Then write down a  $\Pi_1$  formula  $\phi'_{exp}(e, n, k)$  equivalent to  $\phi_{exp}(e, n, k)$ .

For the problems below, recall that any model of PA is an end extension of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and as a corollary we get that if  $\phi$  is  $\Sigma_1$  and true in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , then  $\phi$  is true in any model of PA, and so  $PA \vdash \phi$ . Recall also that we defined a  $\Sigma_1$  formula  $\phi_{prov-\theta}(a, b)$  such that,  $\mathfrak{A} \models \phi_{prov-\theta}[a, b]$  iff  $T_\theta \vdash \phi_a(b)$ . Then setting  $e$  to be the Gödel number of  $\neg\phi_{prov-\theta}(v, v)$ , we defined

$$\sigma := \neg\phi_{prov-\theta}(e, e)$$

i.e.  $\sigma$  is exactly  $\phi_e(e)$ . Note that since  $\phi_{prov-\theta}$  is  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\sigma$  is defined by taking its negation, we have that  $\sigma$  is  $\Pi_1$ .

- Problem 5.**
- (1) Show that  $\mathfrak{A} \models \sigma$  iff  $T_\theta \not\vdash \sigma$ .
  - (2) Prove that  $T_\theta \not\vdash \sigma$  (and so  $\mathfrak{A} \models \sigma$ ). (Here you will use that  $\sigma$  is  $\Sigma_1$ )
  - (3) Prove that  $\sigma$  is not  $\Sigma_1$  (and so not  $\Delta_1$ ).

**Problem 6.** Show that there is no formula  $\phi_{true}(x, y)$  such that

$$\mathfrak{A} \models \phi_{true}[a, b] \text{ iff } \mathfrak{A} \models \phi_a[b].$$

*Hint: suppose for contradiction that such a formula exists. Define a sentence  $\sigma'$  in a similar fashion as  $\sigma$  from above. I.e. informally,  $\sigma'$  will be the sentence “I am not true”.*

Also make sure you know:

- The statements of Soundness, Compactness, Completeness theorems.
- How to show Compactness assuming Completeness; how to prove Soundness.
- The statements and proofs of the First and Second Incompleteness theorems.

- The definition of  $\Delta_0, \Sigma_1, \Pi_1, \Delta_1$  formulas.
- How to show that there exists a countable nonstandard model of PA, i.e. a model  $\mathfrak{B}$  which is not isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Here the proof uses Compactness, to construct a model with an “infinite” element, i.e. an element  $b \in \mathfrak{B}$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S_{\mathfrak{B}}^n(0_{\mathfrak{B}}) <_{\mathfrak{B}} b$ .
- How to prove that every model of PA is an end extension of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .
- As a corollary to the above: that if  $\phi$  is  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\mathfrak{A} \models \phi$ , then any model of PA  $\mathfrak{B} \models \phi$ . Note that this fact is a key ingredient when showing the First Incompleteness theorem.